

65 Years Since the Paper “On the Value of the Best Approximation of Functions Having a Real Singular Point” by I. I. Ibragimov

D. Leviatan,*I. A. Shevchuk

Abstract. In his famous paper [15] Ibragim Ibishievich Ibragimov has given asymptotic values of the best uniform approximation of functions of the form $(a-x)^s \ln^m(a-x)$, $(a \geq 1)$. These results have led to the development of a series of new directions in approximation theory, including the following ones, to which we devote this paper.

- Constructive characterization of approximation of functions on a closed interval.
- Babenko spaces.
- Ditzian-Totik moduli of smoothness.
- Constructive characterization of approximation of functions on the sets of complex plane.
- Shape preserving approximation.

In particular, we will show how we have used the results by I. I. Ibragimov in our recent paper in *Constructive Approximation*.

Key Words and Phrases: degree of approximation by polynomials; Jackson type estimates; polynomial approximation in complex domains; shape preserving approximation

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 30E10; 41A10; 41A25; 41A17; 41A27

1. Introduction

Let

$$E_n[f] = \inf_{P_n} \|f - P_n\|_{C[-1,1]}$$

denote the error of best uniform approximation of a continuous function f on $[-1, 1]$, by algebraic polynomials P_n of degree $< n$. In his famous paper [15] Ibragim Ibishievich Ibragimov has given asymptotic values of the best uniform approximation of functions of the form $(a-x)^s \ln^m(a-x)$, $(a \geq 1)$.

In particular, on p. 445, the same source Ibragimov wrote:

*Corresponding author.

“Theorem VII. If p and m are positive integers then the best approximation of the function $(1-x)^p \ln^m(1-x)$ satisfies the inequality

$$C_p > \frac{n^{2p}}{(\ln n)^{m-1}} E_n[(1-x)^p \ln^m(1-x)] > \left(\frac{\pi}{4+\pi}\right)^{2p} \cdot \frac{C_p}{2\sqrt{2}} \quad (45)$$

for sufficiently large n , where

$$C_p = m2^{m-p+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{2p-1} du}{e^u + e^{-u}}.$$

Corollary 1. *In the case $p = m = 1$ the inequality (45) takes the form*

$$C_1 > n^2 E_n[(1-x) \ln(1-x)] > \left(\frac{\pi}{4+\pi}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{C_1}{2\sqrt{2}},$$

where

$$C_1 = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{u du}{e^u + e^{-u}}.$$

Inequality (45) confirms the unproven statement of S. N. Bernstein that the order of decrease of $E_n[(1-x) \ln(1-x)]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is equal to $\frac{1}{n^2}$ [3, p.91].”

For the functions

$$f_p(x) = (1-x)^p \ln(1-x), \quad m \in \mathbf{N}$$

$(f_p(1) := 0)$, inequalities (45) take the form

$$\frac{C'_p}{n^{2p}} < E_n[f_p] < \frac{C_p}{n^{2p}}. \quad (1)$$

These results have led to the development of a series of new directions in approximation theory, including the following ones to which we devote this paper.

- Constructive characterization of approximation of functions on a closed interval.
- Babenko spaces.
- Ditzian-Totik moduli of smoothness.
- Constructive characterization of approximation of functions on the sets of complex plane.
- Shape preserving approximation.

In particular, we will show how we have used the results by I. I. Ibragimov in our recent paper [19].

In the sequel $c(\cdot)$ will denote different positive constants, and all parameters on which c depends will be included in the parentheses. If c is an absolute constant, then there will be no parentheses.

2. Constructive Characterization of Approximation of Functions on a Closed Interval

At the times when Ibragimov's paper appeared, the Jackson estimates on the degree of approximation of continuous functions by algebraic polynomials had been known, (these estimates follow from Nikolskii [20] (1946) and Timan [22] (1951)). Namely, if $f \in C[-1, 1]$, then

$$E_n[f] < c\omega(1/n, f),$$

where

$$\omega(t, f) := \inf_{h \in [0, t]} \max_{x \in [-1, 1-h]} |f(x+h) - f(x)|$$

is the modulus of continuity of the function f .

Finer estimates due to Nikolskii [20] and Timan [22] are the pointwise estimates. Namely, if $f \in C[-1, 1]$, then there exists a sequence of algebraic polynomials, $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, P_n of degree $< n$ such that

$$|f(x) - P_n(x)| < c\omega(\rho_n(x), f), \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

where

$$\rho_n(x) = \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{1-x^2}.$$

However, for the function $f_1(x) = (1-x)\ln(1-x)$ both estimates imply that

$$E_n(f_1) \leq \frac{c \ln n}{n}, \quad n > 1. \tag{2}$$

Far from Ibragimov's estimate. So, what can be done to improve (2)? In particular, can one at least remove the extra logarithmic factor?

Almost simultaneously, Zygmund [23] (1945) showed that in the constructive theory of approximation of periodic functions one can improve the estimates applying, instead of the first modulus of continuity of f , its second modulus of smoothness, $\omega_2(\cdot, f)$.

It turns out that one may have similar estimates for the approximation of non-periodic functions. Indeed, Dzyadyk [8] (1958) and Freud [10] (1959) proved that if $f \in C[-1, 1]$, then there exists a sequence of algebraic polynomials, $\{P_n\}_{n=2}^\infty$, P_n of degree $< n$, such that

$$|f(x) - P_n(x)| < c\omega_2(\rho_n(x), f), \quad x \in [-1, 1], \tag{3}$$

For the function $f_1 = (1-x)\ln(1-x)$, this yields

$$E_n(f_1) \leq \frac{c}{n}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

So, the application of the $\omega_2(\cdot, f)$ eliminates the logarithmic factor. Moreover (3) implies that near the endpoints of the interval $[-1, 1]$ the order of the error is $\frac{1}{n^2}$, i.e., Ibragimov's estimate holds, but we are still far from it in the middle of the interval.

3. Babenko Spaces

Babenko [2] (1985) introduced the spaces B^r . A function f belongs to the space B^r if it has a locally absolutely continuous $(r - 1)$ -st derivative in $(-1, 1)$, and

$$\|f^{(r)}\varphi^r\|_{L_\infty[-1,1]} < +\infty,$$

where

$$\varphi(x) := \sqrt{1 - x^2}$$

(in the same work, Babenko introduced corresponding classes for the functions of several variables, too.)

It was proved that if $f \in B^r$, then

$$E_n(f) \leq \frac{c(r)}{n^r} \|f^{(r)}\varphi^r\|_{L_\infty[-1,1]}, \quad n \geq r. \quad (4)$$

For $f_m = (1 - x)^m \ln(1 - x)$, this readily yields

$$E_n(f_m) \leq \frac{c(m)}{n^{2m}}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

since $f_m \in B^{2m}$.

Thus, for odd r , as was shown by Bernstein, the function $x^{r/2}$ has the exact order of approximation n^{-r} , making it a “proper representative” of the space B^r ; while for even r , a “proper representative” of the space B^r is Ibragimov’s $f_{r/2}$.

4. Ditzian-Totik Moduli of Smoothness

The next development was the Ditzian-Totik [7] moduli of smoothness (1987), $\omega_k^\varphi(\cdot, f)$. Denote

$$\Delta_h(f, x) := \begin{cases} f(x + h/2) - f(x - h/2), & x \pm h/2 \in [-1, 1] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and for $k > 1$, let

$$\Delta_h^k := \Delta(\Delta_h^{k-1}).$$

Then, for $k \geq 1$, the k th Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness is defined by

$$\omega_k^\varphi(t, f) := \sup_{h \in [0, t]} \|\Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f, \cdot)\|_{C[0,1]}.$$

The Ditzian-Totik moduli of smoothness enabled the constructive characterization of function classes on the interval. In particular, for $f \in C[-1, 1]$, they yielded the estimate

$$E_n(f) \leq c(k)\omega_k^\varphi(1/n, f), \quad n \geq k,$$

and since

$$\omega_{2m}^\varphi(t, f_m) \simeq c(m)t^{2m},$$

we conclude that

$$E_n(f_m) \leq \frac{c(m)}{n^{2m}}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Thus, in particular, the DT-moduli provide the correct estimates for f_m .

Moreover, Leviatan [18] (1986) has proved that there exists a sequence of convex polynomials $\{P_n\}$ such that

$$\|f_1 - P_n\| \leq \frac{c}{n^2}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

In fact, in order to enable us to characterize functions in Babenko classes via their approximation properties, we have extended the DT-moduli.

Given $r \geq 1$, $f \in B^r$ and $k \geq 1$, let

$$\omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t) := \sup_{h \in [0,t]} \|W_{kh}^r(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f^{(r)}, \cdot)\|_{C[-1,1]},$$

where

$$W_\delta(x) := \begin{cases} (1 - x - \delta\varphi(x)/2)^{1/2} (1 + x - \delta\varphi(x)/2)^{1/2}, & x \pm \delta\varphi(x)/2 \in [-1, 1] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We write $f \in C_\varphi^r$, if $f \in C^{(r)}(-1, 1)$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} f^{(r)}(x) \varphi^r(x) = 0.$$

Then, it follows that for $f \in C(-1, 1)$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t) = 0 \iff f \in C_\varphi^r.$$

For functions $f \in C_\varphi^r$ the generalized moduli of smoothness $\omega_{k,r}^\varphi$ have similar properties as the ordinary modulus of smoothness ω_k , e.g.,

$$\omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, nt) \leq c(k, r) n^k \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

and if $f \in C_\varphi^{r+1}$ and $k > 1$, then

$$\omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t) \leq c(k, r) t \omega_{k-1, r+1}^\varphi(f^{(r+1)}, t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, in a forthcoming paper, with Kopotun, we extend the definition to the L_p metric $1 \leq p < \infty$ by setting

$$\omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t) := \sup_{h \in [0,t]} \|W_{kh}^r(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f^{(r)}, \cdot)\|_{L_p[-1,1]}.$$

5. Approximation of Functions on Sets in the Complex Plane

In this section we discuss the possibility of having an analog of (4) for complex approximation. It turns out that it is indeed possible.

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain with a Jordan boundary ∂G , consisting of l smooth curves Γ_j , such that $z_j := \Gamma_{j-1} \cap \Gamma_j \neq \emptyset$, $j = 1, \dots, l$, where $\Gamma_0 := \Gamma_l$. Denote by $\alpha_j \pi$, $0 < \alpha_j \leq 2$, the angle between the curves Γ_{j-1} and Γ_j , at z_j , exterior with respect to the domain G . Let $\overline{G} := G \cup \partial G$ be the closure of G .

For a function $g : G \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ denote as usual

$$\|g\|_G := \sup_{z \in G} |g(z)|,$$

and let

$$E_n(g, G) := \inf_{P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n} \|g - P_n\|_G$$

be the error of the best (complex) polynomial approximation of g . Finally, let Φ be the conformal mapping of the exterior $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{G}$ of \overline{G} onto the exterior of the closed unit disk, normalized by $\Phi'(\infty) > 0$. Assume that there is a neighbourhood U of \overline{G} such that

$$c \leq \varphi(z) |\Phi'(z)| \leq C, \quad z \in U \setminus \overline{G}, \quad (5)$$

where, $c = c(G)$ and $C = C(G)$ are positive constants, that depend only on G , and

$$\varphi(z) := \prod_{j=1}^l |z - z_j|^{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_j}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

is defined for $z \neq z_j$, if $\alpha_j < 1$. To satisfy (5), one should require that all l smooth curves Γ_j , constituting the boundary ∂G , be “a little more than smooth”, e.g., they may be required to be Lyapunov curves, or somewhat less smooth than Lyapunov curves, the so called Dini-type curves.

Abdullayev and Shevchuk [1] (2005) have proved that *if $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and f is an analytic function in G , then*

$$E_n(f, G) \leq \frac{c(r, G)}{n^r} \left\| f^{(r)} \varphi^r \right\|_G, \quad n \geq r. \quad (6)$$

They also proved some inverse theorems. Although it is impossible to have a strong inverse, we have a weak one, with additional $\varepsilon > 0$. Specifically, *let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha_j \geq 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, l$. If $f : G \mapsto \mathbb{C}$, then*

$$\left\| \varphi^r f^{(r)} \right\|_G \leq \frac{c(r, G, \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \sup_{n \geq r} n^{r+\varepsilon} E_n(f, G). \quad (7)$$

Evidently, if the right hand side of (7) is finite, then f is analytic in G . However, if at least one $\alpha_j < 1$, then even this weak inverse fails to hold as counterexamples are provided by the simplest functions $f(z) = z^r$ and $f(z) = e^z$.

Furthermore, there are polynomials which, in addition to (6), yield

$$\left\| P_n^{(r)} \varphi^r \right\|_G \leq c \left\| f^{(r)} \varphi^r \right\|_G. \quad (8)$$

For these polynomials the following inverse inequality is valid:

$$\left\| f^{(r)} \varphi^r \right\|_G \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(r! n^r \|f - P_n\|_G + \left\| P_n^{(r)} \varphi^r \right\|_G \right). \quad (9)$$

Remark 1. *In contrast to the concept of "constructive characterization", there is a one called "approximative characterization" ("approximativnaya charakteristika" in Russian, see, e.g., [9, p.267]). It is a pair of direct and inverse theorems, involving additional conditions. In our case (6) and (8) correspond to the direct conditions, while (9) corresponds to the inverse one. Thus, direct and inverse theorems provide the approximative characterization of the class of functions f analytic in G with $\|f^{(r)} \varphi^r\|_G < +\infty$. Inequalities (6), (8) and (9) imply that for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, if f is a function analytic in G , then there is a sequence $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of polynomials $P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ such that*

$$\exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(r! n^r \|f - P_n\|_G + \left\| P_n^{(r)} \varphi^r \right\|_G \right) = c(r, G) \left\| f^{(r)} \varphi^r \right\|_G.$$

Actually, more general results are proved in [1]. They show that one "may divide inside the norm sign" both sides of (6) and of (8) by φ^ν for some ν .

Theorem 1. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq r$, and denote $\alpha := \min\{1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$. If f is an analytic function in G , then for each $n \geq lr/\alpha$ there is a polynomial $P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ such that*

$$n^r \left\| \frac{(f - P_n) \varphi^\beta}{\varphi^r} \right\|_G + \left\| P_n^{(r)} \varphi^\beta \right\|_G \leq c \left\| f^{(r)} \varphi^\beta \right\|_G.$$

Remark 2. *For $\beta = 0$ Theorem 1 is close to Dzyadyk's classical direct theorem [9, Chapter IX] and is an analog of the pointwise estimates for $[-1, 1]$ by Teljakovski [21], Gopengauz [13] and DeVore [4, 5] (see also Gonska and Hinemann [11, 14], and Gonska, Leviatan, Shevchuk and Wenz [12]). Finally, recall that a corresponding " β -bridge" for $[-1, 1]$, was proved by Ditzian and Jiang [6].*

Theorem 2. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq r$. If $f : G \mapsto \mathbb{C}$, then for each sequence $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of polynomials $P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ we have*

$$\left\| f^{(r)} \varphi^\beta \right\|_G \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(r! n^r \left\| \frac{(f - P_n) \varphi^\beta}{\varphi^r} \right\|_G + \left\| P_n^{(r)} \varphi^r \right\|_G \right).$$

Theorems 1 and 2 readily imply:

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq r$. If f is an analytic function in G , then there is a sequence $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of polynomials $P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ such that

$$\exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(r! n^r \left\| \frac{(f - P_n) \varphi^\beta}{\varphi^r} \right\|_G + \left\| P_n^{(r)} \varphi^\beta \right\|_G \right) = c(r, G) \left\| f^{(r)} \varphi^\beta \right\|_G.$$

6. Shape Preserving Approximation

Leviatan, Radchenko and Shevchuk [1] (2012) considered functions $f \in C[0, 1] \cap C^1(-1, 1)$ that change their monotonicity finitely many times in $[0, 1]$. Let

$$E_n^*(f) := \inf \|f - P_n\|_{C[-1,1]}$$

be the error of the best comonotone approximation, where the infimum is taken over all $P_n \in \mathfrak{P}_n$ such that $P_n'(x)f'(x) \geq 0$, $x \in (-1, 1)$

We write $f \in \Delta_s$, if the function changes its monotonicity exactly $s \geq 1$ times in the interval.

Set $A_1 := \{2\}$, and for each $s \geq 2$ let

$$A_s := \{j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2 \left\lceil \frac{s}{2} \right\rceil, \text{ or } j = 2i, 1 \leq i \leq s\},$$

e.g.,

$$A_2 = \{1, 2, 4\}, \quad A_3 = \{1, 2, 4, 6\}, \quad A_4 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8\}, \text{ etc.}$$

Theorem 3. *Given $s \in \mathbf{N}$, let $\alpha > 0$ be such that $\alpha \notin A_s$. If a function $f \in \Delta_s$ satisfies*

$$n^\alpha E_n(f) \leq 1, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{10}$$

then

$$n^\alpha E_n^*(f) \leq c(\alpha, s), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Theorem 4. *Given $s \in \mathbf{N}$, there is a constant $c = c(s) > 0$ such that if $\alpha \in A_s$, then for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists a function $f = f_m \in \Delta_s$ satisfying (10), with*

$$m^\alpha E_m^*(f) \geq c(s) \ln m.$$

However, we still have a positive result for $\alpha \in A_s$, namely,

Theorem 5. *Given $s \in \mathbf{N}$, let $\alpha \in A_s$. Then there exist constants $c(s)$ and $N(Y_s)$ such that for each function $f \in \Delta^1(Y_s)$, satisfying (10), we have*

$$n^\alpha E_n^{(1)}(f, Y_s) \leq c(s), \quad n \geq N(Y_s).$$

In the proofs we applied properties of Babenko classes, the DT-moduli of smoothness and the relations between B_2 and the Zygmund class, all of which, as mentioned above, emanated from the work of I. I. Ibragimov. Specifically, in order to prove Theorem 4, we had to construct a function that is well approximated by algebraic polynomials when no constraints are imposed on the polynomials, but if certain derivatives of these polynomials have to vanish, then they yield weaker approximation rate. Then by adding an oscillating polynomial to the function we have guaranteed that we have an element with s changes of monotonicity without destroying the above two properties. To this end, for even $\alpha \in A_s$,

we have constructed such a function f_α on $[0, 1]$, in an appropriate Babenko class, more precisely, $f_\alpha \in B^\alpha$. For odd $\alpha \in A_s$, we have constructed a continuous odd function $f_\alpha \in C^{\alpha-1}[-1, 1]$, which satisfies conditions $f_\alpha^{(\alpha-1)} \in B^2[0, 1]$ and $f_\alpha^{(\alpha-1)} \in B^2[-1, 0]$. Therefore, while we could not have an additional derivative at $x = 0$, we could conclude that $f_\alpha^{(\alpha-1)} \in Z[-1, 1]$ and proceed from there. On the other hand, in proving Theorems 3 and 5, we relied heavily on properties of the Ditzian-Totik moduli of smoothness and, in fact, on their extensions.

It is worth mentioning that similar investigation for coconvex approximation was done by the authors and K. Kopotun [17]. However, in coconvex approximation, there are no results analogous to those of Theorem 3 for $s \geq 2$. Namely, the analogous $A_s = \{\alpha : \alpha > 0\}$ for all $s \geq 2$. Interestingly, for $s = 1$ we do have an analog of Theorem 3. Namely, suppose that $f \in C^2[-1, 1]$ changes convexity once in the interval, and define

$$E_n^{**}(f) := \inf \|f - P_n\|_{C[-1,1]}$$

to be the error of the best coconvex approximation, where the infimum is taken over all $P_n \in \mathfrak{P}_n$ such that $P_n''(x)f''(x) \geq 0$, $x \in (-1, 1)$

Theorem 6. *Let $\alpha > 0$ be such that $\alpha \neq 4$. If a function $f \in C[-1, 1]$ changes its convexity once in $[-1, 1]$ and satisfies (10), then*

$$n^\alpha E_n^{**}(f) \leq c(\alpha, s), \quad n \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, if $\alpha = 4$, then for any f which changes its convexity once, say, at y_1 , and satisfies (10), we have

$$n^4 E_n^{**}(f) \leq c, \quad n \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y_1^2}}.$$

However, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $y_1 \in (-1, 1)$ there exists an f which changes its convexity at y_1 and satisfies

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} n^4 E_n(f) = 1,$$

such that for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$

$$m^4 E_m^{**}(f) \geq \left(c \ln \frac{m}{1 + m^2 \sqrt{1-y_1^2}} - 1 \right),$$

and

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} n^4 E_n^{**}(f) \geq c \ln \sqrt{1-y_1^2}.$$

See the recent survey [16] for more results in the shape preserving approximation.

References

- [1] F. G. Abdullayev and I. A. Shevchuk, “Uniform estimates for polynomial approximation in domains with corners”, *J. Approx. Theory* 137 (2005), 143-165.
- [2] K. I. Babenko, “Some problems in approximation theory and numerical analysis” (in Russian), *Russ. Math. Surv.* 40, No.1 (1985), 1-30; English transl. *Usp. Mat. Nauk* 40, No.1(241) (1985), 3-27.
- [3] S. N. Bernstein “Extremal properties of polynomials and the best approximation of continuous functions of one real variable, Part 1” (in Russian), L-M.:GROTL, 1937.
- [4] R. A. DeVore, “Degree of approximation”, In *Approximation Theory II* (G. G. Lorentz, C. K. Chui and L. L. Schumaker eds.), *Proc. Int. Conf. Approx. Theory*, Austin 1976, Academic Press New York, pp. 117-161.
- [5] R. A. DeVore, “Pointwise approximation by polynomials and splines”, In *The Theory of Approximation of Functions* (S. B. Stechkin and S. A. Telyakovski eds.), *Proc. Int. Conf. Kaluga*, 1975, Nauka, Moskow, pp. 132-144.
- [6] Z. Ditzian and D. Jiang, “Approximation of functions by polynomials in $C[-1, 1]$ ”, *Canad J. Math.* 4 (1992), 924-940.
- [7] Z. Ditzian and V. Totik, “Moduli of smoothness”, *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics* 9, Springer-Verlag New York, 1987.
- [8] V. K. Dzhadyk, “A further strengthening of Jackson’s theorem on the approximation of continuous functions by ordinary polynomials” (in Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 121 (1958), 403-406.
- [9] V. K. Dzhadyk “Introduction in Theory of Uniform Approximation of Function by Polynomials” (in Russian), Moskow:Nauka, 1977.
- [10] G. Freud, “Über die Approximation reeller stetigen Funktionen durch gewöhnliche Polynome”, *Math. Ann.* 137 (1959), 17-25.
- [11] H. H. Gonska and E. Hinnemann, “Punktweise Abschätzungen zur Approximation durch algebraische Polynome”, *Acta Math. Hung.*, 16 (1985), 243-254.
- [12] H. H. Gonska, D. Leviatan, I. A. Shevchuk and H. J. Wenz, “Interpolatory Pointwise Estimates for Polynomial Approximation”, *Constr. Approx.*, 16 (2000), 603-629.
- [13] I. E. Gopengauz, “A theorem of A.F.Timan on the approximation of functions by polynomials on a finite segment” (in Russian), *Mat. Zametki* 1 (1967), 163-172; English transl. *Mathematical notes* 1 (1967), 110-116.
- [14] E. Hinnemann and H. H. Gonska, “Generalization of the theorem of DeVore”, In *Approximation Theory IV* (C. K. Chui et al eds.), *Proc. Int. Sympos. Approx. Theory* College Station 1983, New York NY, Academic Press, pp. 527-532.

- [15] I. I. Ibragimov, “Sur la valeur asymptotique de la meilleure approximation d’une fonction ayant un point singulier réel” (in Russian, French summary), *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* 10 (1946), 429-460.
- [16] K. A. Kopotun, D. Leviatan, A. Prymak and I. A. Shevchuk, “Uniform and Pointwise Shape Preserving Approximation by Algebraic Polynomials, *Surveys in Approximation Theory*”, 6 (2011), 24-74 (<http://www.math.technion.ac.il/sat/papers/16/>).
- [17] K. A. Kopotun, D. Leviatan and I. A. Shevchuk, “Are the degrees of best (co)convex and unconstrained polynomial approximation the same?” *Acta Math. Hung.* 123 (2009), 273-290.
- [18] D. Leviatan, “Pointwise estimates for convex polynomial approximation”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98** (1986), 471-474.
- [19] D. Leviatan, D. V. Radchenko and I. A. Shevchuk, “Positive results and counterexamples in comonotone approximation”, *Constr. Approx.* 2012 (to appear).
- [20] S. M. Nikolskii, “On the best approximation by algebraic polynomials of functions satisfying the Lipshits condition” (in Russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. mat.* 10 (1946), 295-322.
- [21] S. A. Teljakovski, “Two theorems on the approximation of functions by algebraic polynomials” (in Russian), *Matem. Sbornik, N. S.* 70 (1966), 252-265; English transl. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, 77 (1968), 163-178.
- [22] A. F. Timan, “Die Approximationen von Funktionen die einer Lipschitzbedingung gengen, durch gewöhnliche Polynome” (in Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, n. Ser.* 77 (1951), 969-972.
- [23] A. Zygmund, “The approximation of functions by typical means of their Fourier series”, *Duke Math. J.* 12 (1945), 695-704.

D. Leviatan

D. Leviatan, Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Mathematics, Tel Aviv University, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel.

E-mail: leviatan@post.tau.ac.il

I. A. Shevchuk

I. A. Shevchuk, National Taras Shevchenko University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine

E-mail: shevchuk@univ.kiev.ua

Received 27 April 2012

Accepted 11 June 2012